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#### Abstract

We study the Iterated Circumcentres Conjecture proposed by Goddyn in 2007: Let $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ be a sequence of points in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that for every $i \geq d+2$ the points $P_{i-1}, P_{i-2}, \ldots, P_{i-d-1}$ are distinct, lie on a unique sphere, and further, $P_{i}$ is the center of this sphere. If this sequence is periodic, then its period must be $2 d+4$. We focus on cases of $d=2$ and $d=3$ and obtain partial results on the conjecture. We also study the sequence and prove its geometrical properties. Furthermore, we propose and look into several variants of the conjecture, namely the Skipped Iterated Circumcentres Conjecture and the Spherical Iterated Circumcentres conjecture.


## 1. Introduction

The problem we are going to investigate is based on a conjecture on iterated circumcentres from Open Problem Garden, proposed by Prof. Luis Goddyn.
Definition 1. Let $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ be a sequence of points in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. The sequence is called Iterated Circumcentres Sequence(ICS) if it fulfils the following: for every $i \geq d+2$, the points $P_{i-1}, P_{i-2}, \ldots, P_{i-d-1}$ lie on a unique sphere, and further, $P_{i}$ is the center of this sphere.
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Figure 1. An example of $\operatorname{ICS}\left\{P_{i}\right\}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, 24$ and $d=2$.

Conjecture 2. If the ICS is periodic, its period must be $2 d+4$.


Figure 2. An example of a periodic ICS with period 8 and $d=2$.

At the first glance, the conjecture seems to be quite simple. Yet, the problem has remained unsolved for years. Driven by curiosity, we started to investigate this problem. Other than periodicity, we discovered that when the sequence is
not periodic, there are some very interesting phenomena, for example some points happen to be collinear, as shown in Figure 1. As we went deeper, we found that the sequence demonstrates some special geometrical patterns which remain unchanged even after we slightly alter the sequence. Finding this conjecture worth looking into, we decided to work on it.

In our study, we will focus on cases of $d=2$ and $d=3$. In Section 2, we focus on plane geometry. We study a Canadian Mathematical Olympiad (CMO) question set up by Prof. Goddyn, who proposed the conjecture, and further investigate the periodicity and geometrical properties of the sequence. We also propose and study some other variants, namely the 2D 1-skipped Iterated Circumcentres Sequence and 2D 2-skipped Iterated Circumcentres Sequence. As for Section 3 and Section 4, we focus on solid geometry and spherical geometry respectively, studying the original sequence and its variants in 3D. In this report, we mainly prove our lemmas and theorems by mathematical means, sometimes with the aid of Maple. [See reviewer's comment (2)]

## 2. Plane Geometry

### 2.1. 2D Iterated Circumcentres Sequence

We will first focus on the simplest case, which is $d=2$. Here, we would like to find out when the sequence is periodic, and when it is periodic, whether its period is $2 \cdot 2+4=8$, as mentioned in the conjecture of Prof. Goddyn [2].

We define the 2D Iterated Circumcentres Sequence.
Definition 3. Let $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ be a sequence of points in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The sequence is called the 2D Iterated Circumcentres Sequence(2D ICS) if $P_{i}$ is the circumcentre of $\Delta P_{i-1} P_{i-2} P_{i-3}$ for every $i \geq 4$.


Figure 3. $P_{i}$ is the circumcentre of $\Delta P_{i-1} P_{i-2} P_{i-3}$.

By the above definition of 2 D ICS, we found that the sequence may not be welldefined, as shown in Example 4 and Example 5 below:
Example 4. When $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ are collinear, $P_{4}$ is not well-defined.


Figure 4. $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ are collinear and the perpendicular bisectors of $P_{1} P_{3}$ and $P_{2} P_{3}$ are shown.

Referring to Figure 4, we can see that the point $P_{4}$ will not be well-defined. The circumcentre of a triangle is the point where the perpendicular bisectors of the triangle meet, but the perpendicular bisectors in this example are parallel. In other words, they do not intersect each other.

Therefore, when $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ are collinear, $P_{4}$ is not well-defined.
Example 5. When $\angle P_{2} P_{1} P_{3}=90^{\circ}, P_{5}$ is not well-defined.


Figure 5. $\angle P_{2} P_{1} P_{3}=90^{\circ}$ and $P_{4}$ is the circumcentre of $\Delta P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}$

As shown in Figure 5, the points $P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}$ are collinear, which leads to the perpendicular bisectors of these three points not intersecting each other.
The situation above is similar to that in Example 4, which means that when $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ are collinear, $P_{5}$ is not well-defined.

As we found that in some cases the 2D ICS is not well-defined, before moving on to the studies of 2D ICS, we would like to first find out the condition such that the 2D ICS must be well-defined.

Theorem 6. The 2D ICS $\left\{P_{i}\right\}$ is well-defined if $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ are not collinear and $\angle P_{2} P_{1} P_{3} \neq 90^{\circ}$.

Proof. Let $P_{i}, P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}$ be some points such that
(i) $P_{i}, P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}$ are not collinear;
(ii) $\angle P_{i+1} P_{i} P_{i+2} \neq 90^{\circ}$.

Suppose that $P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}$ are collinear.
As $P_{i+3}$ is the perpendicular bisector of $P_{i+1} P_{i+2}$, the only possible position of $P_{i+3}$ is the mid-point of $P_{i+1} P_{i+2}$. In other words, $P_{i+1} P_{i+3} P_{i+2}$ is a diameter of a circle. However, by $\angle \mathrm{s}$ is the same segment, $\angle P_{i+1} P_{i} P_{i+2}=90^{\circ}$, which causes contradiction.
$\therefore P_{i+1}, P_{i_{2}}, P_{i+3}$ are not collinear. [See reviewer's comment (3)]
Suppose that $\angle P_{i+2} P_{i+1} P_{i+3}=90^{\circ}$.
As $P_{i+3} P_{i+2}$ and $P_{i+3} P_{i+1}$ are radii of the same circle, $\Delta P_{i+1} P_{i+2} P_{i+3}$ is an isosceles triangle. Therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\angle P_{i+1} P_{i+2} P_{i+3}=\angle P_{i+2} P_{i+1} P_{i+3}=90^{\circ} \quad(\text { base } \angle \mathrm{s}, \text { isos. } \Delta) \\
\angle P_{i+1} P_{i+2} P_{i+3}+\angle P_{i+2} P_{i+1} P_{i+3}+\angle P_{i+1} P_{i+3} P_{i+2}=180^{\circ} \quad(\angle \text { sum of } \Delta)
\end{gathered}
$$

By solving the above equations, we have $\angle P_{i+1} P_{i+3} P_{i+2}=0^{\circ}$, which means that $P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}$ are collinear, thus causing contradiction.
$\therefore \angle P_{i+2} P_{i+1} P_{i+3} \neq 90^{\circ}$. [See reviewer's comment (3)]
By the principle of mathematical induction, if $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ are not collinear and $\angle P_{3} P_{1} P_{2} \neq 90^{\circ}$, for any positive integers $i, P_{i}, P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}$ are not collinear.
As for any positive integers $i$, if $P_{i}, P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}$ are not collinear, there exists an unique circumcentre, which is also the position of $P_{i+3}$.
As a result, if $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ are not collinear and $\angle P_{3} P_{1} P_{2} \neq 90^{\circ}$, the 2D ICS $\left\{P_{i}\right\}$ is well-defined.

In all the studies from now on, we assume that the 2D ICS is well-defined with the conditions stated in Theorem 6 satisfied.

### 2.1.1. Proof by Prof. Luis Goddyn

We found a similar problem in Canadian Mathematical Olympiad(CMO) 2001 [1], which is actually also set up by Prof. Goddyn. The question and the solution below has been converted into one-based for readers' convenience.

Question 7 (2001Q5a). Prove that the points $P_{2}, P_{6}, P_{10}, P_{14}, \ldots$ are collinear.

## Solution 8.



Figure 6. An example of 2D ICS $\left\{P_{i}\right\}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, 6$ with $\angle P_{2} P_{4} P_{3}=2 \alpha$

Let $\angle P_{2} P_{4} P_{3}=2 \alpha$. As $\Delta P_{2} P_{3} P_{4}$ is isosceles, we have that

$$
P_{2} P_{3}=2 \sin \alpha .
$$

The line $P_{4} P_{5}$ is the perpendicular bisector of $P_{2} P_{3}$. Since $\Delta P_{3} P_{4} P_{5}$ is isosceles, we can calculate its length,

$$
P_{4} P_{5}=\frac{P_{3} P_{4} / 2}{\cos \alpha}=\frac{1}{2 \cos \alpha} .
$$

As $P_{6}$ is the circumcentre of $\Delta P_{3} P_{4} P_{5}$, we have $\angle P_{4} P_{6} P_{5}=2 \angle P_{4} P_{3} P_{5}=2 \angle P_{3} P_{4} P_{5}$ $=2 \alpha$. The isosceles triangle $\Delta P_{4} P_{5} P_{6}$ is therefore similar to $\Delta P_{2} P_{3} P_{4}$. As $P_{4} P_{5} \perp P_{2} P_{3}$, we have $\angle P_{2} P_{4} P_{6}=90^{\circ}$. Furthermore, the ratio $P_{4} P_{6}: P_{2} P_{4}$ equals $r$ where

$$
r=\frac{P_{4} P_{5}}{P_{2} P_{3}}=\frac{1}{(2 \sin \alpha)(2 \cos \alpha)}=\frac{1}{2 \sin (2 \alpha)}
$$

By the same argument, we see that each $\angle P_{i} P_{i+2} P_{i+4}$ is a right angle with $P_{i+2} P_{i+4}: P_{i} P_{i+2}=r$. Thus the points $P_{2}, P_{4}, P_{6}, \ldots$ lie on a logarithmatic spiral of ratio $r$ and period 4. It follows that $P_{2}, P_{6}, P_{10}, \ldots$ are collinear. [See reviewer' comment (4)]

In the following studies of 2D ICS, $\angle P_{2} P_{4} P_{3}=2 \alpha$ and $r=\frac{1}{2 \sin 2 \alpha}$.
Also, by $\angle$ at centre twice $\angle$ at $\odot^{\text {ce }}, \angle P_{2} P_{1} P_{3}=\alpha$.
Based on the above solution, we proposed the following lemmas:
Lemma 9. $\angle P_{i} P_{i+2} P_{i+1}=\angle P_{i+2} P_{i+4} P_{i+3}$ for $i \geq 2$ and $\angle P_{i} P_{i+2} P_{i+1}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}2 \alpha, & \text { if } i=2,4,6 \ldots \\ 180^{\circ}-2 \alpha, & \text { if } i=3,5,7 \ldots\end{array}\right.$.

Proof.


Let $\angle P_{i} P_{i+2} P_{i+1}=2 \theta$, where $i \geq 2$.
As $P_{i+2} P_{i+3}$ is the perpendicular bisector of $P_{i} P_{i+1}$, we have that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\angle P_{i+1} P_{i+2} P_{i+3}=\theta \\
\because P_{i} P_{i+2}=P_{i+2} P_{i+1} \\
\therefore \angle P_{i+2} P_{i+1} P_{i+3}=\theta \text { and } \angle P_{i+1} P_{i+3} P_{i+2}=180^{\circ}-2 \theta
\end{gathered}
$$

As $P_{i+3} P_{i+4}$ is the perpendicular bisector of $P_{i+1} P_{i+2}$, we have that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\angle P_{i+2} P_{i+3} P_{i+4}=90^{\circ}-\theta \\
\because P_{i+1} P_{i+3}=P_{i+3} P_{i+2} \\
\therefore \angle P_{i+3} P_{i+2} P_{i+4}=90^{\circ}-\theta \text { and } \angle P_{i+2} P_{i+4} P_{i+3}=2 \theta
\end{gathered}
$$

As a result, $\angle P_{i} P_{i+2} P_{i+1}=\angle P_{i+2} P_{i+4} P_{i+3}=2 \theta$ for integers $i \geq 2$.
By the principle of mathematical induction and substituting $2 \theta=\angle P_{2} P_{4} P_{3}=2 \alpha$, we have that $P_{i} P_{i+2} P_{i}=2 \alpha$ for even integers $i \geq 2$.

Similarly, by the principle of mathematical induction and substituting $2 \theta=\angle P_{3} P_{5} P_{4}=180^{\circ}-2 \alpha$, we have that $P_{i} P_{i+2} P_{i+1}=180^{\circ}-2 \alpha$ for odd integers $i \geq 3$.
$\therefore \angle P_{i} P_{i+2} P_{i+1}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}2 \alpha, & \text { if } i=2,4,6 \ldots \\ 180^{\circ}-2 \alpha, & \text { if } i=3,5,7 \ldots\end{array}\right.$.

Lemma 10. $\Delta P_{i} P_{i+1} P_{i+2} \sim \Delta P_{i+2} P_{i+3} P_{i+4}$ for $i \geq 2$.

Proof. For $i \geq 2$, by Lemma 9, we have that $\angle P_{i} P_{i+2} P_{i+1}=\angle P_{i+2} P_{i+4} P_{i+3}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\because P_{i} P_{i+2}=P_{i+2} P_{i+1} \text { and } P_{i+2} P_{i+4}=P_{i+4} P_{i+3} \\
\therefore \Delta P_{i} P_{i+1} P_{i+2} \sim \Delta P_{i+2} P_{i+3} P_{i+4}
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 11. $\left\{P_{2 k}\right\}$ and $\left\{P_{2 k+1}\right\}$ lie on two spirals, where $\angle P_{i} P_{i+2} P_{i+4}=90^{\circ}$.
Remark 12. Note that $P_{1}$ may not lie on any of the two spirals.
Lemma 13. $P_{i}, P_{i+4} \cdot P_{i+8}, \ldots$ are collinear for $i \geq 2$.

### 2.1.2. Periodicity of the 2D ICS

In Lemma 11, we have found out that $P_{i}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+4}, \ldots$ lie on a logarithmatic spiral. By studying the properties of this spiral, we want to find out when the sequence will be periodic, and what the period of the sequence is.

Lemma 14. $P_{i} P_{i+4}$ is perpendicular to $P_{i+2} P_{i+6}$. [See reviewer's comment (5)]

Proof.


Figure 7. $P_{i}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+4}, \ldots, P_{i+18}$ form a square logarithmatic spiral.
slope of $P_{2} P_{6}=\frac{1}{r}$, slope of $P_{2} P_{10}=\frac{1-r^{2}}{r-r^{3}}=\frac{1}{r}, \ldots$

$$
\text { slope of } P_{4} P_{8}=\text { slope of } P_{4} P_{12}=\ldots=-r
$$

Therefore, the following is true for all positive even numbers $i$ :

$$
\text { slope of } P_{i} P_{i+4} \times \text { slope of } P_{i+2} P_{i+6}=-1
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { slope of } P_{3} P_{7}=\text { slope of } P_{3} P_{11}=\ldots \\
& \text { slope of } P_{5} P_{9}=\text { slope of } P_{5} P_{13}=\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the following is true for any positive integers $i \geq 2$ :

$$
\text { slope of } P_{i} P_{i+4} \times \text { slope of } P_{i+2} P_{i+6}=-1
$$

Since the two lines are perpendicular to each other, the spiral will become a square if and only if the ratio $r$ equals to 1 or -1 . When the spiral becomes a square, the sequence will be periodic with period 8 .

Theorem 15. $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ is periodic if and only if $\angle P_{2} P_{1} P_{3}=15^{\circ}$ or $75^{\circ}$ or $105^{\circ}$ or $165^{\circ}$

Proof. The sequence is periodic if and only if the spiral becomes a square, and the spiral becomes a square if and only if $r=1$ or -1 .

Recalling that $\angle P_{2} P_{1} P_{3}=\alpha$ and $r=\frac{1}{2 \sin 2 \alpha}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
r & =\frac{1}{2 \sin 2 \alpha}=1 \text { or }-1 \\
\therefore \angle P_{2} P_{1} P_{3} & =\alpha=15^{\circ} \text { or } 75^{\circ} \text { or } 105^{\circ} \text { or } 165^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.1.3. Point of Convergence

In the previous section, we have studied the cases of $r=1$ or -1 . Here, we focus only on cases of $r<1$, where the two spirals are converging. [See reviewer's comment (6)]

We want to prove what Prof. Goddyn has omitted, but we found not that trivial that the two spirals have the same point of convergence, which is the point where the four diagonal lines are concurrent.


Figure 8. The two spirals $P_{2}, P_{4}, P_{6}, \ldots$ and $P_{3}, P_{5}, P_{7}, \ldots$ with first six points each and the four lines: $P_{2} P_{6} P_{10} \ldots, P_{3} P_{7} P_{11} \ldots$, $P_{4} P_{8} P_{12} \ldots, P_{5} P_{9} P_{13} \ldots$.

After plotting the points on a coordinate plane, we observed the patterns as shown in Figure 8. We therefore suspected the following:
(i) The four lines $P_{2} P_{6}, P_{3} P_{7}, P_{4} P_{8}$ and $P_{5} P_{9}$ are concurrent at a point.
(ii) The two spirals $P_{2}, P_{4}, P_{6}, \ldots$ and $P_{3}, P_{5}, P_{7}, \ldots$ are converging to the point where the four lines are concurrent.

We want to prove that the two statements above are true. Before moving on to the proofs, we would like to first define the points $P_{\text {odd }}$ and $P_{\text {even }}$ :
Definition 16. $P_{\text {even }}$ is the point of intersection of lines $P_{2} P_{6} P_{10} \ldots$ and $P_{4} P_{8} P_{12} \ldots$

Definition 17. $P_{\text {odd }}$ is the point of intersection of lines $P_{3} P_{7} P_{11} \ldots$ and $P_{5} P_{9} P_{13} \ldots$

Lemma 18. $\Delta P P_{i} P_{i+2}$ is similar to $\Delta P P_{i+2} P_{i+4}$, where $i \geq 2$ and $P= \begin{cases}P_{\text {even }}, & \text { if } i=2,4,6 \ldots \\ P_{\text {odd }}, & \text { if } i=3,5,7 \ldots\end{cases}$

Proof.


Let $\angle P P_{i} P_{i+2}=\beta$.
By Lemma 14, $P_{i} P P_{i+2}=\angle P_{i+2} P P_{i+4}=90^{\circ}$

$$
\angle P_{i} P_{i+2} P=90^{\circ}-\beta
$$

By Lemma 11, $\angle P_{i} P_{i+2} P_{i+4}=90^{\circ}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\angle P_{i+4} P_{i+2} P=\beta \\
\angle P_{i+2} P_{i+4} P=90^{\circ}-\beta \\
\angle P_{i} P_{i+2} P=\angle P_{i+2} P_{i+4} P=90^{\circ}-\beta \\
\angle P P_{i} P_{i+2}=\angle P P_{i+2} P_{i+4}=\beta \\
\therefore \Delta P P_{i} P_{i+2} \sim \Delta P P_{i+2} P_{i+4} \quad \text { (AAA) }
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 19. If $P_{i}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+4}, \ldots$ lie on the vertices of a square logarithmic spiral, they will converge to $P$, where $i \geq 2$ and $P=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}P_{\text {even }}, & \text { if } i=2,4,6 \ldots \\ P_{o d d}, & \text { if } i=3,5,7 \ldots\end{array}\right.$.

Proof. By Lemma 18, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{P_{i+2} P}{P_{i} P}=\frac{P_{i+2} P_{i+4}}{P_{i} P_{i+2}}=r \text { and } P_{i+2 n} P=r^{n} \cdot P_{i} P \\
\because \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r^{n}=0 \text { and } r<1 \\
\therefore \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{i+2 n} P=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 20. $\Delta P_{4} P_{5} P_{\text {odd }}$ is similar to $\Delta P_{8} P_{9} P_{\text {odd }}$.

Proof. By Lemma 10, $\Delta P_{3} P_{4} P_{5}$ is similar to $\Delta P_{5} P_{6} P_{7}$ and $\Delta P_{7} P_{8} P_{9}$.
Therefore, $\angle P_{3} P_{5} P_{4}=\angle P_{5} P_{7} P_{6}=\angle P_{7} P_{9} P_{8}$.
By Lemma 18, $\Delta P_{\text {odd }} P_{3} P_{5}$ is similar to $P_{\text {odd }} P_{7} P_{9}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\angle P_{3} P_{5} P_{\text {odd }}=\angle P_{7} P_{9} P_{\text {odd }} \quad(\text { corr. } \angle \mathrm{s}, \sim \Delta \mathrm{~s}) \\
\angle P_{3} P_{5} P_{\text {odd }}-\angle P_{3} P_{5} P_{4}=\angle P_{7} P_{9} P_{\text {odd }}-\angle P_{7} P_{9} P_{8} \\
\angle P_{4} P_{5} P_{\text {odd }}=\angle P_{8} P_{9} P_{\text {odd }} \\
P_{3} P_{5}=P_{4} P_{5} \quad(\text { radii }) \\
P_{7} P_{9}=P_{8} P_{9} \quad(\text { radii }) \\
\frac{P P_{5}}{P P_{9}}=\frac{P_{3} P_{5}}{P_{7} P_{9}}=\frac{P_{4} P_{5}}{P_{8} P_{9}} \quad(\text { corr. sides }, \sim \Delta \mathrm{s}) \\
\Delta P_{4} P_{5} P_{\text {odd }} \sim \Delta P_{8} P_{9} P_{\text {odd }} \quad(\text { ratio of } 2 \text { sides }, \text { inc. } \angle) \\
\therefore \Delta P_{4} P_{5} P_{\text {odd }} \sim \Delta P_{8} P_{9} P_{\text {odd }}
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 21. $P_{4}, P_{\text {odd }}$ and $P_{8}$ are collinear.

Proof. By Lemma 20, $\Delta P_{4} P_{5} P_{\text {odd }}$ is similar to $\Delta P_{8} P_{9} P_{\text {odd }}$.

$$
\angle P_{4} P_{\text {odd }} P_{5}=\angle P_{8} P_{\text {odd }} P_{9} \quad(\text { corr. } \angle \mathrm{s}, \sim \Delta \mathrm{~s})
$$

Considering line $P_{5} P_{\text {odd }} P_{9}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \angle P_{4} P_{\text {odd }} P_{5}+\angle P_{4} P_{\text {odd }} P_{9}=180^{\circ} \\
& \angle P_{4} P_{\text {odd }} P_{9}+\angle P_{4} P_{\text {odd }} P_{9}=180^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore P_{4} P_{\text {odd }} P_{8}$ is a straight line. (Converse of adj. $\angle \mathrm{s}$ on st.line)

Theorem 22. The two spirals $P_{i}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+4}, \ldots$ and $P_{i+1}, P_{i+3}, P_{i+5}, \ldots$ have the same point of convergence, which is the point where the four diagonal lines $P_{2} P_{6}$, $P_{3} P_{7}, P_{4} P_{8}$ and $P_{5} P_{9}$ are concurrent.

Proof. By Lemma 21, $P_{4} P_{8}$ is concurrent with $P_{3} P_{7}$ and $P_{5} P_{9}$ at $P_{\text {odd }}$. Similarly, $P_{6} P_{10}$ is also concurrent with $P_{3} P_{7}$ and $P_{5} P_{9}$ at $P_{\text {odd }}$.
By Lemma $13, P_{i}, P_{i+4}, P_{i+8}$ are collinear for $i \geq 2$.
Therefore, $P_{i} P_{i+4}, P_{i+1} P_{i+5}, P_{i+2} P_{i+6}$ and $P_{i+3} P_{i+7}$ are concurrent at $P_{\text {odd }}$. By definition of $P_{\text {even }}$ and $P_{\text {odd }}$, we have $P_{\text {even }}=P_{\text {odd }}$. From now on, we use $P$ to denote the point of intersection of the four diagonal lines.
By Lemma 19, the two spirals both converge to $P$.

### 2.1.4. Divergence

Finally, we will focus on cases of $r>1$, where the two spirals are diverging. [See reviewer's comment (7)]


Figure 9. The two spirals $P_{2}, P_{4}, P_{6}, \ldots$ and $P_{3}, P_{5}, P_{7}, \ldots$ are diverging.

### 2.1.5. Illustrative Example

To better present what we have proved, we will give an illustrative example.
In the example, we have $P_{1}(-1,0), P_{1}(1,0)$ and $P_{1}(0.375,0.5)$.
By definition of $\alpha$. we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha=\angle P_{2} P_{1} P_{3} \approx 19.98311^{\circ} \\
r=\frac{1}{2 \sin 2 \alpha}=\frac{1}{2 \sin \left(2 \cdot 19.98311^{\circ}\right)} \approx 0.77840
\end{gathered}
$$

As $r \approx 0.77840<1$, it is expected that the two spirals will converge to the point $P$, where $P$ is the point of intersection of the four diagonal lines $P_{2} P_{6}, P_{3} P_{7}, P_{4} P_{8}$ and $P_{5} P_{9}$. The figure below also matches our expectation.


Figure 10. The example of the 2D ICS, with $P_{1}(-1,0), P_{1}(1,0)$ and $P_{1}(0.375,0.5)$

### 2.2. 2D 1-skipped Iterated Circumcentres Sequence

After studying the 2 D ICS, we try to make some changes to the original sequence while maintaining its interesting geometrical properties. We came up with the 2D 1-skipped Iterated Circumcentres Sequence.

Definition 23. Let $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ be a sequence of points in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The sequence is called the 2D 1-skipped Iterated Circumcentres Sequence (2D 1-skipped ICS) if $P_{i}$ is the circumcentre of $\Delta P_{i-2} P_{i-3} P_{i-4}$ for every $i \geq 5$.


Figure 11. $P_{i}$ is the circumcentre of $\Delta P_{i-2} P_{i-3} P_{i-4}$

We suspected that the 2D 1-skipped ICS may have similar properties with the 2D ICS which we have studied in the previous section. Therefore, we would like to start with investigating the periodicity of this sequence and find out whether they are really similar

Inspired by Prof. Goddyn [3], we found that it may be easier for us to study the properties of 2D 1-skipped ICS if we start with a special case.
Definition 24. Let $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ be a sequence of points in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The sequence is called the Special Case of 2D 1-skipped ICS if $P_{4}$ lies on the perpendicular bisector of $P_{1} P_{2}$ and $P_{i}$ is the circumcentre of $\Delta P_{i-2} P_{i-3} P_{i-4}$ for every $i \geq 5$.


Figure 12. An example of the Special Case of 2D 1-skipped ICS.
[See reviewer's comment (8)]
Note that except at the very beginning of the sequence, the special case is actually the same as the general case.
Theorem 25. The Special Case of 2D 1-skipped ICS $\left\{P_{i}\right\}$ is well-defined if the following conditions are satisfied:
(a) $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}$ are not concyclic;
(b) $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ are not collinear;
(c) $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{4}$ are not collinear;
(d) $P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}$ are not collinear;
(e) $P_{3}$ does not lie on the perpendicular bisector of $P_{1} P_{2}$;
(f) $P_{4}$ is not the circumcenter of $\Delta P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$.

Proof. Let $P_{i}, P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}$ be some points such that
(i) $P_{i}, P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}$ are no concyclic;
(ii) $P_{i}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}$ are not collinear;
(iii) $P_{i}, P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}$ are not collinear;
(iv) $P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}$ are not collinear;
(v) $P_{i+2}$ does not lie on the perpendicular bisector of $P_{i} P_{i+1}$;
(vi) $P_{i+3}$ is not the circumcenter of $\Delta P_{i}, P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}$.

Let $Q$ be the point of intersection of $P_{i} P_{i+2}$ and the perpendicular bisector of $P_{i} P_{i+1}$.
Let $\angle P_{i+2} P_{i+4} P_{i+1}=2 \alpha$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\angle P_{i+2} P_{i} P_{i+1}=\alpha\left(\angle \text { at center twice } \angle \text { at } \odot^{\text {ce }}\right) \\
\angle P_{i+3} P_{i} P_{i+1}=\angle P_{i+3} P_{i+1} P_{i}(\text { base } \angle \mathrm{s}, \text { isos. } \Delta) \\
\angle P_{i+2} Q P_{i+1}=2 \alpha(\text { ext. } \angle \text { of } \Delta) \\
=\angle P_{i+2} P_{i+4} P_{i+1}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\therefore P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, Q, P_{i+4}$ are concyclic. ( $\angle \mathrm{s}$ is the same segment)

$P_{i+3}$ and $P_{i+4}$ lie on the perpendicular bisector of $P_{i} P_{i+1}$, and a line intersects a circle at at most 2 points. Therefore, $P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}, P_{i+4}$ are only concyclic if $P_{i+3}$ is at the position of $P_{i+4}$ or $Q$.

However, by (vi), $P_{i+3}$ is not the circumcenter of $\Delta P_{i}, P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}$. In other words, it is not coincident with $P_{i+4}$. Also, by (iii), $P_{i+3}$ does not lie on $P_{i} P_{i+2}$, which means it is not coincident with $Q$ as well.
$\therefore P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}, P_{i+4}$ are not concyclic.
$P_{i+3}$ and $P_{i+4}$ lie on the perpendicular bisector of $P_{i} P_{i+1}$, therefore, $P_{i+1}, P_{i+3}, P_{i+4}$ are only collinear if $P_{i+3}$ and $P_{i+4}$ are coincident, which contradicts (vi).
$\therefore P_{i+1}, P_{i+3}, P_{i+4}$ are not collinear.
By (iv), we have that $P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}$ are not collinear.
$\therefore P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}$ are not collinear.
$P_{i+3}$ and $P_{i+4}$ lie on the perpendicular bisector of $P_{i} P_{i+1}$, and by (v), $P_{i+2}$ does not lie on the perpendicular bisector of $P_{i} P_{i+1}$.
$\therefore P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}, P_{i+4}$ are not collinear.
$P_{i+3}$ and $P_{i+4}$ lie on the perpendicular bisector of $P_{i} P_{i+1}$, and $P_{i+4}$ also lies on the perpendicular bisector of $P_{i+1} P_{i+2}$.
The perpendicular bisector of $P_{i} P_{i+1}$ only intersects the perpendicular bisector of $P_{i+1} P_{i+2}$ at 1 point, and the point is $P_{i+4}$. In other words, $P_{i+3}$ only lies on the perpendicular bisector of $P_{i+1} P_{i+2}$ if it is at the position of $P_{i+4}$.
However, by (vi), $P_{i+3}$ is not coincident with $P_{i+4}$.
$\therefore P_{i+3}$ does not lie on the perpendicular bisector of $P_{i+1} P_{i+2}$.
$P_{i+4}$ is the circumcenter of $\Delta P_{i} P_{i+1} P_{i+2}$, and by (i), $P_{i}, P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}$ are not concyclic.
$\therefore P_{i+4}$ is not the circumcenter of $\Delta P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}$.
By the principle of mathematical induction, if initial conditions (a) to (f) are satisfied, then $P_{i}, P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}$ are not concyclic, $P_{i}, P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}$ are not collinear, $P_{i}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}$ are not collinear, $P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}$ are not collinear, $P_{i+2}$ does not lie on the perpendicular bisector of $P_{i} P_{i+1}$ and $P_{i+3}$ is not the circumcenter of $\Delta P_{i}, P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}$

As for any position integers $i$, if $P_{i}, P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}$ are not collinear, there exists an unique circumcentre, which is also the position of $P_{i+4}$.

As a result, if the initial conditions of (a) to (f) are satisfied, the Special Case of 2D 1-skipped ICS $\left\{P_{i}\right\}$ is well-defined.

In all the studies from now on, we assume that the Special Case of 2D 1-skipped ICS is well-defined with the conditions stated in Theorem 25.

For general case of 2D 1-skipped ICS, the situation is similar, except that $P_{3}, P_{4}, P_{5}$ must not be collinear as well.
i.e. 2D 1-skipped ICS $\left\{P_{i}\right\}$ is well-defined if $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}$ are not concyclic, $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ are not collinear, $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{4}$ are not collinear, $P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}$ are not collinear, $P_{3}, P_{4}, P_{5}$ are not collinear, $P_{3}$ does not lie on the perpendicular bisector of $P_{1} P_{2}$ and $P_{4}$ is not the circumcenter of $\Delta P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$.

In all the studies from now on, we assume that the 2D 1-skipped ICS is well-defined with the conditions stated in Theorem 25 satisfied and $P_{3}, P_{4}, P_{5}$ are not collinear.

### 2.2.1. Periodicity of the 2D 1-skipped ICS

By rotation, translation and scaling, we let the initial conditions be

$$
P_{1}(-1,0), P_{2}(1,0), P_{3}(a, b), P_{4}(0, c)
$$

By Maple, we could find the coordinates of $P_{i}$ in terms of $a, b, c .^{1}$
As shown in Figure 13, we observe that $P_{i}, P_{i+6}, P_{i+12}, \ldots$ are collinear. This

[^0]resembles the spirals we have come across in the 2D ICS. Therefore, we suspected that the 2D 1-skipped ICS is periodic with period 12 .


Figure 13. $P_{i}, P_{i+6}, P_{i+12}, \ldots$ are seemingly collinear.
We tried to fix the coordinates of $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$, then translate $P_{4}$ along the perpendicular bisector of line $P_{1} P_{2}$. By setting the equation $P_{1}=P_{13}$, we could find out the condition which the sequence has to satisfy such that it is periodic with period 12.
From the result of Maple, we obtained Theorem 26:
Theorem 26. Given initial condition: $P_{1}(-1,0), P_{2}(1,0), P_{3}(a, b), P_{4}(0, c)$, the sequence is periodic if and only if the following equation is satisfied:

$$
c=\frac{b^{3}+5 a^{2} b-6 a b+b \pm \sqrt{7 a^{2} b^{4}+22 a^{4} b^{2}-44 a^{3} b^{2}+22 a^{2} b^{2}-a^{6}+4 a^{5}-6 a^{4}+4 a^{3}-a^{2}}}{a^{2}+2 a+1+b^{2}}
$$

When we are studying 2D ICS, we found out that when $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are fixed, there must exsist some $P_{3}$ such that the sequence is periodic. However, according to the equation above, we found that $c$ may have no real roots. In other words, for some fixed positions of $P_{3}$, there may not exist any possible positions of $P_{4}$ such that the sequence is periodic with period 12 .

Let $\Delta=7 a^{2} b^{4}+22 a^{4} b^{2}-44 a^{3} b^{2}+22 a^{2} b^{2}-a^{6}+4 a^{5}-6 a^{4}+4 a^{3}-a^{2}$
When $\Delta>0$,
there are 2 possible values for $c$ such that the sequence is periodic.
When $\Delta=0$,
there is 1 possible value for $c$ such that the sequence is periodic.

When $\Delta<0$,
there are no possible values for $c$ such that the sequence is periodic.
By completing the square and solving quadratic equation, we found that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta>0 \text { when }-\sqrt{\frac{8 \sqrt{2}-11}{7}}<\frac{b}{a-1}<\sqrt{\frac{8 \sqrt{2}-11}{7}} ; \\
\Delta=0 \text { when } \frac{b}{a-1}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{8 \sqrt{2}-11}{7}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 27. If $\angle P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}=\tan ^{-1}\left(-\sqrt{\frac{8 \sqrt{2}-11}{7}}\right) \approx 168^{\circ}$ or $\tan ^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{8 \sqrt{2}-11}{7}} \approx 11.9^{\circ}$, there is only 1 possible value for $c$ such that the sequence is periodic.

Proof. By rearranging $\frac{b}{a-1}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{8 \sqrt{2}-11}{7}}$, we have

$$
b= \pm \sqrt{\frac{8 \sqrt{2}-11}{7}}(a+1) \mp 2 \sqrt{\frac{8 \sqrt{2}-11}{7}}
$$

Since $P_{1} P_{2}$ is parallel to the x-axis, $\angle P_{1} P_{2} P_{3}=\tan ^{-1}\left( \pm \sqrt{\frac{8 \sqrt{2}-11}{7}}\right)$

As previously mentioned, the general case is actually as same as the special case except at the very beginning of the sequence.
i.e. $P_{5}$ lies on the perpendicular bisector of line $P_{2} P_{3}$.

In other words, all the lemmas and theorems obtained above can be applied to the general case by simply increasing the index $i$ by 1 .

### 2.2.2. Transformation of the Points

After studying the periodicity of the sequence, we moved on to its geometrical properties. Note that we also started with the special case.

By plotting the sequence on a coordinate plane and translating the first four points, we obtained the graphs below.


Figure 14. All the points seem to converge to a point.
We observed that the points are sometimes converging. In the previous section, the 2D ICS has a point of convergence, so we are curious about whether the points in the 2D 1-skipped ICS will also converge to a point.

Furthermore, we observed that the shapes formed by connecting some 6 points are similar, as indicated by the coloured lines in the following graph.


Figure 15. An illustration to our observation that the shapes formed by connecting some 6 points are similar.

Please note that the definition of $P$ is different from the last subsection. Here, we define $P$ as follow:

Definition 28. $P$ is the point of intersection of $P_{1} P_{7}$ and $P_{2} P_{8}$.


Figure 16. An illustration of $P$ is the point of intersection of $P_{1} P_{7}$ and $P_{2} P_{8}$.

Lemma 29. $P_{i} P_{i+6}$ are concurrent at $P$ for $1 \leq i \leq 6$.

Proof. With the help of Maple, we have $P P_{i}+P P_{i+6}=P_{i} P_{i+6}$
In other words, $P$ lies on segment $P_{i} P_{i+6}$.
Therefore, the six lines $P_{i} P_{i+6}$ are concurrent for $1 \leq i \leq 6$.
Lemma 30. $P_{i} P_{i+1}$ is perpendicular to $P_{i+3} P_{i+4}$.

Proof. $P_{i+3}$ is the circumcentre of $P_{i-1}, P_{i}$ and $P_{i+1}$, therefore $P_{i+3}$ lies on the perpendicular bisector of $P_{i} P_{i+1}$. Similarly, $P_{i+4}$ is the circumcentre of $P_{i}, P_{i+1}$ and $P_{i+2}$, therefore $P_{i+4}$ also lies on the perpendicular bisector of $P_{i} P_{i+1}$.
$\therefore P_{i} P_{i+1} \perp P_{i+3} P_{i+4}$.
Lemma 31. $P_{i} P_{i+1}$ is parallel to $P_{i+6} P_{i+7}$

Proof. By Lemma 30, $P_{i} P_{i+1} \perp P_{i+3} P_{i+4}$, and $P_{i+3} P_{i+4} \perp P_{i+6} P_{i+7}$.
$\therefore P_{i} P_{i+1} / / P_{i+6} P_{i+7}$ (int. $\angle \mathrm{s}$ supp.)

Let $r$ be $\frac{P_{1} P}{P_{7} P}$.
Lemma 32. There is a constant $r$ such that $\frac{P_{i} P}{P_{i+6} P}=r$ for $1 \leq i \leq 6$.

Proof. By Lemma 31, $P_{i} P_{i+1} / / P_{i+6} P_{i+7}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta P P_{i} P_{i+1} \sim \Delta P P_{i+6} P_{i+7} \quad(\mathrm{AAA}) \\
\Delta P P_{1} P_{2} \sim \Delta P P_{7} P_{8} \quad(\mathrm{AAA}) \\
\frac{P_{1} P}{P_{7} P}=\frac{P_{2} P}{P_{8} P}=r \\
\Delta P P_{2} P_{3} \sim \Delta P P_{8} P_{9} \quad(\mathrm{AAA}) \\
\frac{P_{2} P}{P_{8} P}=\frac{P_{3} P}{P_{9} P}=r
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\text { Similarly, } \frac{P_{1} P}{P_{7} P}=\frac{P_{2} P}{P_{8} P}=\frac{P_{3} P}{P_{9} P}=\frac{P_{4} P}{P_{10} P}=\frac{P_{5} P}{P_{11} P}=\frac{P_{6} P}{P_{12} P}=r
$$

To simplify the problem, we translated the graph to make $P$ the origin.
Let $f\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}\right)=-r\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}\right)$.
Lemma 33. $P_{7}, \ldots, P_{12}$ can be transformed from $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}$ by rotation by $180^{\circ}$ and scaling by $r$.

Proof.

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}\right)=-r\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}\right)=\left(-r P_{1}, \ldots,-r P_{6}\right) \\
\text { By Lemma } 32,\left(-r P_{1}, \ldots,-r P_{6}\right)=\left(P_{7}, \ldots, P_{12}\right) \\
\text { Therefore, } f\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}\right)=\left(P_{7}, \ldots, P_{12}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $P_{1}^{\prime}, P_{2}^{\prime}, P_{3}^{\prime}, \ldots$ be a sequence of points. Define $P_{i}^{\prime}$ to be a point transformed from $P_{i}$ by scaling by $\frac{1}{r}$ and rotation about $P$ by $180^{\circ}$.

Lemma 34. $P_{i}$ and $P_{i+6}^{\prime}$ are coincident.

Proof.
By definition, $\left(P_{1}^{\prime}, P_{2}^{\prime}, P_{3}^{\prime}, \ldots\right)=-r\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots\right)$
By Lemma $33, P_{7}, \ldots, P_{12}$ can be transformed from $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}$ by rotation about $P$ by $180^{\circ}$ and scaling by $r$.

In other words, $\left(P_{7}, \ldots, P_{12}\right)=-r\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}\right)$
Therefore, $\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}\right)=\left(P_{7}^{\prime}, \ldots, P_{12}^{\prime}\right)$
By definition, $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ is an 2D 1-skipped ICS. Since $P_{1}^{\prime}, P_{2}^{\prime}, P_{3}^{\prime}, \ldots$ are transformed from $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ by only scaling and rotation, $P_{1}^{\prime}, P_{2}^{\prime}, P_{3}^{\prime}, \ldots$ is also an 2D 1-skipped ICS.

By Theorem 25, 2D 1-skipped ICS is well-defined, therefore

$$
\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots\right)=\left(P_{7}^{\prime}, P_{8}^{\prime}, P_{9}^{\prime}, \ldots\right)
$$

Theorem 35. $P_{6 i+1}, \ldots, P_{6 i+6}$ can be transformed from $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}$ by rotation about $P$ by $\left(180^{\circ} \times i\right)$ and scaling by $r^{i}$.

Proof. Let $S(i):\left(P_{6 i+1}, \ldots, P_{6 i+6}\right)=(-r)^{i} \cdot\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}\right)$.
By Lemma 33, $S(1)$ is true.
Assume $S(k)$ is true for some positive integer $k$.
i.e. $\left(P_{6 k+1}, \ldots, P_{6 k+6}\right)=(-r)^{k} \cdot\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}\right)$.

$$
\text { By definition, } \begin{aligned}
\left(P_{6 k+7}, \ldots, P_{6 k+12}\right) & =-r\left(P_{6 k+7}^{\prime}, \ldots, P_{6 k+12}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =-r\left(P_{6 k+1}, \ldots, P_{6 k+6}\right)(\text { By Lemma 34) } \\
& =(-r)^{k+1} \cdot\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}\right)\left(\text { By }\left(^{*}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore S(k+1)$ is also true.
$\therefore$ By the principle of mathematical induction, $S(i)$ is true for all positive integers $i$.

By Theorem 35, Lemma 32 is true for $6 k+1 \leq i \leq 6 k+6$, where $k$ is any nonnegative integers.
Furthermore, we obtain the following properties of 2D 1-skipped ICS:
Corollary 36. $P_{i}, P_{i+6}, P_{i+12}, \ldots$ are collinear.

Proof. By Theorem 35, during the transformation of $P_{i}$ to $P_{i+6}, P_{i+6}$ is rotated by $180^{\circ}$. Similarly, $P_{i+12}, P_{i+18}, \ldots$ are also rotated by $180^{\circ}$.
By converse of adj. $\angle \mathrm{s}$ on st. line, $P_{i}, P_{i+6}, P_{i+12}, \ldots$ are collinear.
Corollary 37. $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ converge to $P$.

Proof. By Theorem 35, $P_{6 i+k}=P_{k} r^{i}$ for any positive integers $k$ where $1 \leq k \leq 6$.

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} r^{i}=0
$$

Corollary 38. $P_{6 n+i} P_{6 n+j}$ is parallel to $P_{6 n+i+6} P_{6 n+j+6}$ and $P_{6 n+i+6} P_{6 n+j}$ is parallel to $P_{6 n+i+12} P_{6 n+j+6}$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq 6$ and $n$ is any non-negative integers.

Proof. By Theorem 35, $P_{6+i} P=r \cdot P_{i} P$ and $P_{6+j} P=r \cdot P_{j} P$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\angle P_{i} P P_{j}=\angle P_{6+i} P P_{6+j} \quad(\text { vert.opp. } \angle \mathrm{s}) \\
\therefore \Delta P P_{i} P_{j} \sim \Delta P P_{6+i} P_{6+j} \quad(\text { ratio of } 2 \text { sides, inc } \angle) \\
\angle P P_{i} P_{j}=\angle P P_{6+i} P_{6+j} \\
\therefore P_{i} P_{j} / / P_{6+i} P_{6+j} \\
\text { Similarly }, P_{6+i} P_{j} / / P_{12+i} P_{6_{j}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Corollary 39. $P_{i}, P_{j}, P_{6+i}, P_{6+j}, P_{12+i}, P_{12+j}, \ldots$ form a parallelogram-like spiral with opposite side ratio $r$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq 6$.

Proof. By Corollary 38, the opposite lines of the spiral are parallel. By Lemma 32, the points undergo scaling by $r$ for each 6 points.


Figure 17. The two sprials formed by $P_{1}, P_{6}, P_{7}, P_{12}, \ldots$ and $P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{8}, P_{9}, \ldots$
Similar to the previous section, all the corollaries, lemmas and theorems above can be applied to the general case by increasing the indices by 1 .

## 3. Solid Geometry

### 3.1. 3D Iterated Circumcentres Sequence

Definition 40. Let $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ be a sequence of points in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The sequence is called the 3D Iterated Circumcentres Sequence(3D ICS) if $P_{i}$ is the spherical circumcentre of $P_{i-1}, P_{i-2}, P_{i-3}, P_{i-4}$ for every $i \geq 5$.


Figure 18. $P_{i}$ is the circumcentre of $P_{i-1}, P_{i-2}, P_{i-3}, P_{i-4}$
Similar to 2D 1-skipped ICS, we will start with a special case.
Definition 41. Let $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ be a sequence of points in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The sequence is called the Special Case of 3D ICS if $P_{3}$ lies on the plane perpendicularly bisecting $P_{1} P_{2}, P_{4}$ lies on the line equidistant to $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$, and $P_{i}$ is the spherical circumcentre of $P_{i-1}, P_{i-2}, P_{i-3}, P_{i-4}$ for every $i \geq 5$.

Theorem 42. The 3D ICS $\left\{P_{i}\right\}$ is well-defined if the following conditions are satisfied:
(a) $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}$ are not coplanar;
(b) $P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}, P_{5}$ are not coplanar;
(c) Let $C$ be the circumcenter of $\Delta P_{2} P_{3} P_{4}$ such that $P_{1} C \neq P_{2} C$;
(d) Let $D$ be the circumcenter of $\Delta P_{3} P_{4} P_{5} . \angle P_{3} P_{5} P_{4} \neq 90^{\circ}$ if $P_{2} D=P_{3} D$.

Proof. Let $P_{i}, P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}, P_{i+4}$ be points such that
(i) $P_{i}, P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}$ are not coplanar.
(ii) $P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}, P_{i+4}$ are not coplanar.
(iii) Let $C$ be the circumcentre of $\Delta P_{i+1} P_{i+2} P_{i+3}$ such that $P_{i} C \neq P_{i+1} C$
(iv) Let $D$ be the circumcentre of $\Delta P_{i+2} P_{i+3} P_{i+4} . \quad \angle P_{i+2} P_{i+4} P_{i+3} \neq 90^{\circ}$ if $P_{i+1} D=P_{i+2} D$

By (ii), $P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}, P_{i+4}$ are not coplanar.
Suppose $P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}, P_{i+4}, P_{i+5}$ are coplanar.
Since $P_{i+3}, P_{i+4}, P_{i+5}$ lie on the plane perpendicular bisecting $P_{i+1} P_{i+2}$, we have $P_{i+5}=P_{i+3}$ or $P_{i+5}=P_{i+4}$, both of which cause contradiction.
$\therefore P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}, P_{i+4}, P_{i+5}$ are not coplanar.
Suppose that $C$ is the circumcentre of $\Delta P_{i+2} P_{i+3} P_{i+4}$ such that $P_{i+1} C=P_{i+2} C$. Then, $C=P_{i+5}$, which makes $P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}, P_{i+4}, P_{i+5}$ coplanar, which causes contradiction.
$\therefore P_{i+1} C \neq P_{i+2} C$.
Suppose that $D$ is the circumcentre of $\Delta P_{i+3} P_{i+4} P_{i+5}$ such that $\angle P_{i+3} P_{i+5} P_{i+4}=$ $90^{\circ}$ and $P_{i+2} D=P_{i+3} D$.
Since $P_{i+2} D=P_{i+3} D$, we have $D=P_{i+5}$. So, $P_{i+3}, P_{i+5}, P_{i+4}$ are collinear, which makes $P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}, P_{i+4}, P_{i+5}$ coplanar, which causes contradiction.
$\therefore \angle P_{i+3} P_{i+5} P_{i+4} \neq 90^{\circ}$ if $P_{i+2} D=P_{i+3} D$.
By the principle of mathematical induction, if initial conditions (a) to (d) are satisfied, $P_{i}, P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}$ are not coplanar for all positive integers $i$.

For any positive integers $i$, if $P_{i}, P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}$ are not coplanar, there exists a unique circumcentre which is the position of $P_{i+4}$

As a result, if the initial conditions (a) to (d) are satisfied, the 3D ICS $\left\{P_{i}\right\}$ is well-defined.

In all the studies from now on, we assume that the 3D ICS and the Special Case of 3D ICS are well-defined with the conditions stated in Theorem 42 satisfied.

### 3.1.1. Transformation of the Points

We observe that in this case, $P_{i}, P_{i+5}$ and $P_{i+10}$ are collinear for $i \geq 1$. In order to prove our observation, we would like to find out some points or segments which can help us figure out the transformation of $P_{i}, P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}, P_{i+4}$ to $P_{i+5}, P_{i+6}, P_{i+7}, P_{i+8}, P_{i+9}$ and onwards. We also observe that the transformation involves rotation by $180^{\circ}$ and scaling by $r$.

Let $P$ be the intersection of $P_{1} P_{6}$ and $P_{2} P_{7}$, and let $r$ be $\frac{P_{1} P}{P_{6} P}$.
Lemma 43. $P_{i} P_{i+5}$ are concurrent for $1 \leq i \leq 5$.

Proof. With the help of Maple, we found that $P P_{i}+P P_{i+5}=P_{i} P_{i+5}$
In other words, $P$ lies on segment $P_{i} P_{i+5}$.
Therefore, the five lines $P_{i} P_{i+5}$ are concurrent for $1 \leq i \leq 5$.
Lemma 44. $P_{i} P_{i+1}$ is parallel to $P_{i+5} P_{i+6}$.

Proof. $P_{i+2}, P_{i+3}$ and $P_{i+4}$ lies on the perpendicular bisector of $P_{i} P_{i+1}$. Also, $P_{i} P_{i+1}$ and $P_{i+5} P_{i+6}$ are perpendicular to the plane $P_{i+2} P_{i+3} P_{i+4}$. By int. $\angle \mathrm{s}$ supp., $P_{i} P_{i+1} / / P_{i+5} P_{i+6}$.
Lemma 45. There is a constant $r$ such that $\frac{P_{i} P}{P_{i+5} P}=r$ for $1 \leq i \leq 5$.

Proof. By Lemma 44, $P_{i} P_{i+1} / / P_{i+6} P_{i+7}$,
$\Delta P P_{i} P_{i+1} \sim \Delta P P_{i+5} P_{i+6}$ (AAA)
$\Delta P P_{1} P_{2} \sim \Delta P P_{6} P_{7}$ with side ratio $r$,
Similar to Lemma 13, Lemma 45 is proved.

To simplify the problem, we translated the graph to make $P$ the origin.
Let $f\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{5}\right)=-r\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{5}\right)$.
Lemma 46. $f\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{5}\right)=\left(P_{6}, \ldots, P_{10}\right)$

Proof.

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{5}\right)=-r\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{5}\right)=\left(-r P_{1}, \ldots,-r P_{5}\right) \\
\text { By Lemma } 45,\left(-r P_{1}, \ldots,-r P_{5}\right)=\left(P_{6}, \ldots, P_{10}\right) \\
\text { Therefore, } f\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{5}\right)=\left(P_{6}, \ldots, P_{10}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $P_{1}^{\prime}, P_{2}^{\prime}, P_{3}^{\prime}, \ldots$ be a sequence of points. Define $P_{i}^{\prime}$ to be a point transformed from $P_{i}$ by scaling by $\frac{1}{r}$ and rotation about $P$ by $180^{\circ}$.

Lemma 47. $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots\right)=\left(P_{6}^{\prime}, P_{7}^{\prime}, P_{8}^{\prime}, \ldots\right)$

Proof.

$$
\text { By definition, }\left(P_{1}^{\prime}, P_{2}^{\prime}, P_{3}^{\prime}, \ldots\right)=-r\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots\right)
$$

By Lemma 45 and Lemma $46, P_{6}, \ldots, P_{10}$ can be transformed from $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{5}$ by rotation about $P$ by $180^{\circ}$ and scaling by $r$.

In other word, $\left(P_{6}, \ldots, P_{10}\right)=-r\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{5}\right)$
Therefore, $\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{5}\right)=\left(P_{6}^{\prime}, \ldots, P_{10}^{\prime}\right)$
By definition, $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ is an 3D ICS. Since $P_{1}^{\prime}, P_{2}^{\prime}, P_{3}^{\prime}, \ldots$ are transformed from $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ by only scaling and rotation, $P_{1}^{\prime}, P_{2}^{\prime}, P_{3}^{\prime}, \ldots$ is also an 3D ICS.

By Theorem 42, 3D ICS is well-defined, so we have

$$
\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots\right)=\left(P_{6}^{\prime}, P_{7}^{\prime}, P_{8}^{\prime}, \ldots\right)
$$

Theorem 48. $P_{5 i+1}, \ldots, P_{5 i+5}$ can be transformed from $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{5}$ by rotation about $P$ by $\left(180^{\circ} \times i\right)$ and scaling by $r^{i}$.

Proof. Let $S(i):\left(P_{5 i+1}, \ldots, P_{5 i+5}\right)=(-r)^{i} \cdot\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{5}\right)$.
By Lemma 45 and Lemma 46, $S(1)$ is true.
Assume $S(k)$ is true for some positive integer $k$.
i.e. $\left(P_{5 k+1}, \ldots, P_{5 k+10}\right)=(-r)^{k} \cdot\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{5}\right)$.

$$
\text { By definition, } \begin{aligned}
\left(P_{5 k+6}, \ldots, P_{5 k+10}\right) & =-r\left(P_{5 k+6}^{\prime}, \ldots, P_{5 k+10}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =-r\left(P_{5 k+1}, \ldots, P_{5 k+5}\right)(\text { By Lemma 34 }) \\
& =(-r)^{k+1} \cdot\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{5}\right)\left(\text { By }\left(^{*}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore S(k+1)$ is also true.
$\therefore$ By the principle of mathematical induction, $S(i)$ is true for all positive integers $i$.

By Theorem 48, we obtain the following properties of 3D ICS:
Corollary 49. The points $P_{i}, P_{i+5}, P_{i+10}, \ldots$ are collinear.

Proof. By Theorem 48, during the transformation of $P_{i}$ to $P_{i+5}, P_{i+5}$ is rotated by $180^{\circ}$. Similarly, $P_{i+10}, P_{i+15}, \ldots$ are also rotated by $180^{\circ}$.
By converse of adj. $\angle \mathrm{s}$ on st. line, $P_{i}, P_{i+5}, P_{i+10}, \ldots$ are collinear.
Corollary 50. $P$ is the point of convergence.

Proof. By Theorem 48, $P_{5 i+k}=P_{k} \cdot r^{i}$ for any positive integers $k$ where $1 \leq k \leq 5$.

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} r^{i}=0
$$

## 4. Further Experiments and Conjectures

In this section, we are going introduce some other variants of the ICS in which we failed to obtain significant result. We have done some numerical experiments so as to study their geometrical patterns.

### 4.1. 2D 2-skipped Iterated Circumcentres Sequence

### 4.1.1. Numerical Experiments

After studying the 2D 1-skipped ICS, we would continue with 2D 2-skipped Iterated Circumcentres Sequence.

Definition 51. Let $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ be a sequence of points in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The sequence is called the 2D 2-skipped Iterated Circumcentres Sequence (2D 1-skipped ICS) if $P_{i}$ is the circumcentre of $\Delta P_{i-3} P_{i-4} P_{i-5}$ for every $i \geq 6$.

From the result we obtained from 2D ICS and 2D 1-skipped ICS, we thought that there will be points that are collinear in 2D 2-skipped ICS, and there will be a point of convergence, which is the intersection of the concurrent lines. However, after doing some numerical experiments, we found out our conjecture is not true. The points seem to be collinear for each 16 points, but by drawing straight lines, we found that the 16 points do not lie on the same straight line. In other words, they are not collinear.


Figure 19. 2D 2-skipped ICS where points seem to be collinear.
By connecting each 16 points together in the figure, we see that points with larger indices are closer to being collinear, while points with smaller indices are more messy and do not seem collinear.

Other than points being seemingly collinear, we observed some other interesting patterns


Figure 20. 2D 2-skipped ICS where points seems to be in a spiral.
We connected each 16 points together in the figure, and it seems that they are in a spiral. However, the angles of every consecutive 16 points are not the same.

There are also some figures which show a combination of the two properties stated above, but the figures turn out to be quite messy.


Figure 21. 2D 2-skipped ICS when points first seem to be collinear, then turned into a spiral.


Figure 22. An enlarged version of Figure 21
The first figure is an enlarged figure of the second one. We observe that at first, the points seem to be collinear, and then they turned into a spiral.

Despite having discovered this interesting phenomenon, we are unable to prove why the points behave like that.

### 4.2. 3D 1-skipped Iterated Circumcentres Sequence

We also try to make some changes to the 3D ICS, and come up with the 3D 1skipped Iterated Circumcentres Sequence.

Definition 52. Let $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ be a sequence of points in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The sequence is called the 3D 1-skipped Iterated Circumcentres Sequence(3D 1-skipped ICS) if $P_{i}$ is the spherical circumcentre of $P_{i-2}, P_{i-3}, P_{i-4}, P_{i-5}$ for every $i \geq 6$.

### 4.2.1. Geometrical Patterns

By numerical experiment, we found that 3D 1-skipped ICS has lost the collinear property. We also observe a strange phenomenon - the points are behaving differently with different periods.


Figure 23. 3D 1-skipped ICS with period 28.
Above is an example that each 28 points seem to form a curve.


Figure 24. 3D 1-skipped ICS with period 42.
Above is an example that each 42 points seem to form a curve.


Figure 25. 3D 1-skipped ICS with unknown period.
Above is an example that points in the same sequence seem to have formed 7 different eclipses. By painting the points with different colours, We found out that the indices of the points with the same colour always differ by $7 n$, where $n$ is any positive integers. e.g. $P_{1}, P_{8}, P_{15}, \ldots$ forms the red eclipse, $P_{2}, P_{9}, P_{16}, \ldots$ forms the blue eclipse, and onwards.

We are astonished by this miraculous pattern. Unfortunately, we failed to account for the behaviour of the points, and was unable to investigate deeper.

### 4.3. 3D Spherical Iterated Circumcentres Sequence

Definition 53. Let $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$, be a sequence of points in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The sequence is called the 3D Spherical Iterated Circumcentres Sequence (3D Spherical ICS) if $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ lie on the same sphere and $P_{i}$ is the circumcentre of $P_{i-1}, P_{i-2}, P_{i-3}$ on the minor cap for every $i \geq 4$.


Figure 26. $P_{i}$ is the circumcentre of $P_{i-1}, P_{i-2}, P_{i-3}$ on the minor cap.

### 4.3.1. Numerical Experiments

We observe that $P_{3}, P_{4}, P_{7}, P_{8}, P_{11}, P_{12}, \ldots$ are sometimes collinear, and when these points are collinear, the other points also happen to be collinear and are perpendicular to the line formed by linking $P_{3}, P_{4}, P_{7}, P_{8}, P_{11}, P_{12}, \ldots$

We try to use the way in 2D plane to solve this problem.
Lemma 54. The theorem base $\angle s$, isos. $\Delta$ is applicable in spherical geometry while theorem $\angle$ at centre twice $\angle$ at $\odot^{c e}$ is not.

Proof. Theorem $\angle$ sum of $\Delta$ is not applicable in spherical geometry. Therefore, theorem $\angle$ at centre twice $\angle$ at $\odot^{\text {ce }}$ is not applicable as well.

This lemma hints that the points may not be collinear.
We would like to investigate whether there are specific points that fulfill $\angle$ at centre twice $\angle$ at $\odot^{\text {ce }}$. However, we failed to find those points.


Figure 27. The points seems to be collinear.

## 5. Conclusion

We studied the Iterated Circumcentres Sequence in plane geometry and solid geometry. We also proposed a total of four variants, namely the 2D 1-skipped Iterated Circumcentres Sequence(2D 1-skipped ICS), 2D 2-skipped Iterated Circumcentres Sequence(2D 2-skipped ICS), 3D 1-skipped Iterated Circumcentres Sequence(3D 1-skipped ICS) and 3D Spherical Iterated Circumcentres Sequence(3D Spherical ICS).

For the 2D ICS, we have studied the its periodicity and proven Goddyn's conjecture. We also studied the properties of the sequence when it is converging or diverging. For the 2D 1-skipped ICS, we have studied its periodicity as well. Other than that, we have proven the relationship between each six points. For the 3D ICS, we have proven the relationship between each five points.

For the remained variants, we have done some numerical experiments and found out some interesting geometrical patterns. However, we are unable to account for the behaviours of the points.
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## Appendix A.

```
f:= proc(n:: nornegint)
    optionrenember:
    if }n=1\mathrm{ then
    return-1;
    elif }n=2\mathrm{ then
    return 0;
    elif }n=3\mathrm{ then
    return 1;
    elif }n=4\mathrm{ then
    return 0;
    elif }n=5\mathrm{ then
    return a;
    elif }n=6\mathrm{ then
    return b;
    elif }n=7\mathrm{ then
    return 0;
    elif }n=8\mathrm{ then
    returnc;
    else
    if nmod 2=1 then
A}\mp@subsup{|}{}{\prime}=f(n-8);\mp@subsup{A}{y}{}:=f(n-7);\mp@subsup{B}{x}{}:=f(n-6);\mp@subsup{B}{y}{}:=f(n-5);\mp@subsup{C}{x}{}:=f(n-4);\mp@subsup{C}{y}{}:=f(n-3)
return }\frac{((\mp@subsup{A}{x}{2}+\mp@subsup{A}{y}{2})\cdot(\mp@subsup{\textrm{B}}{y}{}-\mp@subsup{C}{y}{})+(\mp@subsup{\textrm{B}}{x}{2}+\mp@subsup{B}{y}{2})\cdot(\mp@subsup{\textrm{C}}{y}{}-\mp@subsup{A}{y}{})+(\mp@subsup{\textrm{C}}{x}{2}+\mp@subsup{\textrm{C}}{y}{2})\cdot(\mp@subsup{A}{y}{}-\mp@subsup{B}{y}{}))}{2(\mp@subsup{A}{x}{}\cdot(\mp@subsup{B}{y}{}-\mp@subsup{C}{y}{})+\mp@subsup{B}{x}{}\cdot(\mp@subsup{C}{y}{}-\mp@subsup{A}{y}{})+\mp@subsup{C}{x}{}\cdot(\mp@subsup{A}{y}{}-\mp@subsup{B}{y}{}))}
else
A
return}\frac{((\mp@subsup{A}{x}{2}+\mp@subsup{A}{y}{2})\cdot(\mp@subsup{\textrm{C}}{x}{}-\mp@subsup{B}{x}{})+(\mp@subsup{\textrm{B}}{x}{2}+\mp@subsup{B}{y}{2})\cdot(\mp@subsup{A}{x}{}-\mp@subsup{C}{x}{})+(\mp@subsup{\textrm{C}}{x}{2}+\mp@subsup{\textrm{C}}{y}{2})\cdot(\mp@subsup{\textrm{B}}{x}{}-\mp@subsup{A}{x}{}))}{2(\mp@subsup{A}{x}{}(\mp@subsup{B}{y}{}-\mp@subsup{C}{y}{})+\mp@subsup{B}{x}{}(\mp@subsup{C}{y}{}-\mp@subsup{A}{y}{})+\mp@subsup{C}{x}{}(\mp@subsup{A}{y}{}-\mp@subsup{B}{y}{}))}
end if;
end if:
end proc;
```

Figure 28. Program used to find $P_{i}$ in terms of $a, b, c$.
(n(4)-\Omega21
(n(4)-\Omega21
$\frac{\left(a^{2}+b^{2}-1+2 c b\right) d}{a^{2} b+c b^{2}+4 b a+b^{3}-c-c b^{2}+b}$
$\frac{\left(b^{2}+b^{2}-1+2 c b\right) \theta}{a^{2} b+c a^{2}+4 b a+b^{2}-c-c b^{2}+b}$
$-\frac{1}{32} \frac{1}{b^{2} b^{2}(b-c+a c)}\left(14 a c b^{2}+2 a^{2} c b^{2}+2 c^{3} a b^{2}-10 b c^{2} a+14 b c^{2} a^{3}-14 c^{2} a b^{3}+2 b c^{2} a^{2}-4 a^{2} b^{2} c+14 b^{4} a c-5 a^{4} c b^{2}-9 a^{4} b c^{2}-13 a^{2} b^{4} c+10 a^{2} c^{2} b^{3}+3 c^{2} b+2 b b^{2}-6 a b^{2}+b a^{2}-6 b a^{4}-4 a^{2} b^{2} \quad\left(4 b^{2}\right.\right.$

naterties.
$c=\frac{5 b a^{2}+b^{3}-65 a+b+\sqrt{22 b^{2} a^{4}+7 a^{2} b^{2}-44 b^{2} a^{2}+22 a^{2} b^{2}-b^{5}-6 a^{2}-a^{2}+4 a^{2}+4 a^{5}}}{1-2 a+b^{2}+b^{2}}$

| n26) $=f(21$ |
| :---: |
| and |


|nctarfice.

Figure 29. Result of the program.


Figure 30. By solving equation of $P_{1} P_{7}$ and $P_{2} P_{8}$, we find the coordinates of $P$.

$a_{n}, b_{n}, c_{n}$ are the square of the length of $P_{n} P, P_{n+6} P, P_{n} P_{n+6}$ respectively. We have to prove that $\sqrt{a_{n}}+\sqrt{b_{n}}=\sqrt{c_{n}}$. By rearranging terms, we have $\left(a_{n}+b_{n}-\right.$ $\left.c_{n}\right)^{2}=4 a_{n} b_{n}$. With the aid of Maple, we prove that the above equation is true for $1 \leq n \leq 6$.

For 3D cases, similar code is used.
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## Reviewer's Comments

The reviewer has some comments about the presentation of this paper, as well as the notations and typos.

1. The reviewer has comments on the wordings, which have been amended in this paper.
2. Note that 2D 2-skipped Iterated Circumcentres Sequence is studied in Section 4, NOT in Section 2.
3 . It is better to abandon the symbols $\because$ and $\therefore$ in the formal mathematical writing. Please replace them by the corresponding words.
3. It seems that "logarithmatic spiral" should be "logarithmic spiral". This also works in the remainder of the paper.
4. Lemma 14: Here $i$ should be greater than or equals 2 .
5. Here " $r<1$ " should be " $|r|<1$ " because $\alpha$ can greater than $90^{\circ}$ in Theorem 15.
6. Here " $r>1$ " should be " $|r|>1$ ".
7. Figure 12: Note that $P_{4}$ lies on the perpendicular bisector of $P_{1} P_{2}$ by the definition of Special Case of 2D 1-skipped ICS. Hence Figure 12 is wrong. Please modify it.
8. Since $P_{1}$ has more freedom in the definition of 2D 1-skipped ICS (a similar phenomenon is the Remark on Page 30), it seems that the main results involving $P_{1}$ in Section 2.2 .2 just work for the Special Case of 2D 1-skipped ICS. The same logic also works for Section 3.1.1.

[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The code of the program can be found in the appendix.

